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INTRODUCTION
Laparoscopic approach has been a revolutionary technique in gynecology. The technique is well known in reducing length of stay, enhancing patient’s recovery, and reducing the expenses. Laparoscopic management of gynecologic cancer is controversial somehow. Evaluation of lymph nodes in advanced cervical cancer, radical hysterectomy in early cervical cancer, endometrial cancer, and early ovarian cancer had been done by laparoscopy. The risk for intraperitoneal spreading of the malignancy was believed to rise by the procedure.
Even so, studies had analyzed that risk of dissemination and metastases at trocar site are mainly related to manipulations, spill of cancerous tissue intraoperatively, or unprotected specimen removal.\(^1\) These factors should be remembered by the surgeon during the procedure.

When a suspicious of malignancy was found intraoperatively during a laparoscopy, and measures to prevent metastases can not be taken, it is optional to immediately convert the procedure. However if the gynecologic oncologist to perform immediate conversion to laparotomy is not present, the longer interval time between first laparoscopy and definitive surgeries will increase the rate of having advanced disease.\(^2\) The circumstances might occur in cases of unsuspected malignancy of the ovary. Although preoperative assessment of mass has carefully considered postmenopausal status, highly elevated CA-125 level, CT scan or ultrasound findings, the chance of unexpected ovarian malignancy could be as high as 0.9% in premenopausal patients and 3.0% in postmenopausal patients.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^5\)

**Case**

A 52-years-old lady P2, had a laparoscopic total hysterectomy and bilateral salpingooophorectomy for a suspected ovarian cancer from solid parts found during ultrasound and CA125 level 169. Intraoperatively right ovary was enlarged cystically sized approximately 15 cm. Uterus and left ovary were normal. No free fluid was observed. No nodules were found at peritoneum. The cyst was multilobulated and relatively not adhered to surrounding organs. Cyst was ruptured iatrogenically with chocolate thick fluid coming out of it. Eventually solid part was revealed by cutting the cyst. Frozen section taken from 20x20x2 mm cyst wall revealed benign endometriosis. Regardless, total hysterectomy and bilateral salpingooophorectomy was performed. The rest of mass were extracted without endobag. The final paraffin block findings were normal uterus with clear cell adenocarcinoma arise directly from ovarian surface epithelium. She was then scheduled to receive six cycles chemotherapy using carboplatin 300 mg and paclitaxel 200 mg regiments. During chemotherapy she felt a lump appeared at the previous trocar site scar, but was told to be normal. PET scan examination after chemotherapy confirmed a 2 cm-mass in diameter superior to peritoneum and multiple implants spreaded intraabdominally as a progression of the cancer. CA125 level rises to 557.
Case Management

A debulking laparotomy was done. During surgery we found omental cake, with part of the omentum adhered to the right previous trocar insertion site. The omentum was connected to tumor implant size 3x4 cm that penetrated until fat tissue of abdomen wall. Afterward, the debulking was continued by excising implant found at left paracolical area. Omentectomy was performed. The histopathology examination revealed clear cell adenocarcinoma metastases.

Currently patient is in good condition and receiving second line chemotherapy with oxaliplatin, gemcitabin (day 1-day 8), and bevacizumab.

DISCUSSION

Appropriate patient selection and correct intraoperative management are the key points in laparoscopic approach to ovarian cancer. Patient should be informed about possibility of conversion to laparotomy or the need for second surgery because incomplete staging, as well as risk of spillage, tumor cell dissemination, and trocar site metastases. Compared to cervical and endometrial cancer, laparoscopy in ovarian cancer carries some risks of progression of disease. But this option is debatable. Intraperitoneal spreading and trocar site metastases happened because of long exposure of high CO2 pressure and the disposal of cyst not by endobag. Other important aspect is how to perform appropriate sampling for frozen section. When all these measures were applied, under management of early cancer would not occur. However, there is...
still a chance of unexpected ovarian malignancy could be as high as 0.9% in premenopausal patients and 3.0% in postmenopausal patients in laparoscopy of ovarian cyst.

Trocar site metastases could occur because of the passage of tumor specimens at the port sites. Carbon dioxide's "chimney" effect floats tumor cells through the port wounds during the release of pneumoperitoneum. Other theories mentioned were the pre-existing ascites, the depressed immunity secondary to surgical stress, direct extension of the cancer to the port site, or the contaminated instruments causing metastases. The use of endobag is mandatory to prevent tumor contact with the port site. Zero rate trocar site metastases in a study of 24 laparoscopy for early stage ovarian cancer with endobag has confirmed its importance. In a study of 3,138 adnexal mass laparoscopic patients in which 41 patients (1.31%) were histologically proven to have a borderline tumor and 7 (0.22%) patients were diagnosed with ovarian carcinoma, no trocar site metastases occur when strict rule of using endobags is applied. Minilaparotomy could be done to evacuate larger mass. Other proposed technique to prevent dissemination of cancer cell is by doing salphyngeoophorectomy including the posterior leaf of broad ligament extending to pararectal peritoneal sheath in order for the ligament to prevent spillage during evacuation as has been studied by Perutelli et al.

When histological confirmation of ovarian cancer was made after first surgery, chemotherapy or a secondary surgery must be perform for appropriate staging and debulking. Tozzi et al. concluded that laparoscopic treatment is safe for early ovarian cancer or adnexal mass that turned out to be an ovarian cancer, with 11 (45.8%) out of 24 patients underwent completion of staging after a mean of 12 days or received adjuvant chemotherapy after a median time of 7 days. No trocar site metastases was found. After a median follow-up of 46 months, disease-free survival was 91.6%.

In this case, the proper preoperative assessment has been done. Even so, during laparoscopy inappropriate evacuation of the mass without endobag perpetuated the dissemination of tumor cells. Furthermore, the benign result coming from frozen section masked the operator judgement for the correct management. This happened as a result of improper sampling of the mass. The operator should have taken the solid part for evaluation instead of the cyst wall. In the other hand, clear cell carcinoma is known to have poor prognosis because of the platinum-resistant characteristics and its poor response to chemotherapy. These may contribute to the rapid progression in this patient. We could learn that from this lesson, applying the same standard management in every laparoscopic surgery of adnexal mass is a must. Patient should be informed of the worst possibilities from a surgery that she must go through. Hence, any follow up procedures that must be taken could be consider as a comprehensive management and not a malpractice event.
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