Laparoscopic Transperitoneal Approach for Vesicovaginal Fistula Repair: the First Experience
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INTRODUCTION

Vesicovaginal fistula (VVF) in developed countries is a surgical complication associated with gynecologic procedures. Transperitoneal hysterectomy has been shown to be the most common cause, with VVF occurring in approximately one in every 1800 hysterectomies. The incidence of fistula caused by any surgical procedure was estimated to be between 0.1% and 2%, in which almost 70% is caused by hysterectomy. The remaining cases are caused by radiation, malignancy and infection. Several techniques exist for the repair of VVF. Vaginal repair offers the least morbidity but can be challenging for posterior fistulas. From experienced centers, success rates of 88%-100% have been reported in selected patients. Transperitoneal ap-
approach offers excellent results but with increased morbidity.4-6 We report our first experience in laparoscopic repair for VVF following cesarean procedure.

CASE REPORT

A 31-year-old woman with history of cesarean section 5 years ago. She complained of continuous urinary leakage from the vagina, without micturition sensation. After failure of 6 weeks conservative management, she was offered to undergo surgical management. Previously, she refused surgical therapy for 5 years because of financial reasons. After performing cystography, we found a vesico-vaginal fistula (Figure 1-a), with the neck of the fistula located on the posterior wall of the bladder. We performed laparoscopic repair with transperitoneal approach. The duration of the procedure was 270 minutes. Length of hospital stay was 2 days, drain was removed on day 1 post-operative and average VAS score was 2. Patient was discharged with an indwelling urethral catheter. Post-operative cystogram was done after 14 days post-operative. We found no contrast leakage and immediately removed the urethra catheter. Patient was satisfied with good cosmetic result of the operative wound and no urinary leakage.

OPERATIVE TECHNIQUE

The patient was placed in low lithotomy position (Figure 1-b). Cystoscopy shows the supratrigonal fistula (Figure 2-1) and both ureters were catheterized using no. 5 Ch ureteral catheter. This facilitates ureteral identification and protection during excision and closure of the fistula. A pair of long forceps was introduced to the vaginal opening and the forceps entered the fistula from vaginal side under cystoscopy. Ureteral catheter was inserted into the fistula and pulled out of the vagina using this forceps. This ureter catheter was used as a marker for fistula site (Figure 2-2). A moist surgical gauze pad was placed in the vagina to prevent leakage of gas from the abdomen and to give more traction during the laparoscopic procedure. The patient was then placed in slight Trendelenburg position. After achieving pneumoperitoneum, 4 ports were placed. We used 4 laparoscopic ports, 10 mm port for laparoscopic 30° camera, three 5 mm ports was used for the operator and assistance (Figure 1-c).

The first step was dissection of the uterus. We found some mild uterus adhesion to the adjacent omentum (Figure 2-3). The next step was dissection of the vesicovaginal space. Dissection was made until we identified the ureteral catheter that was previously inserted into the fistula (Figures 2-4 and 2-5). The fistulous tract was sharply excised, creating a lateral margin of viable tissue wide enough to allow subsequent closure (Figure 2-6). After excision of the tract, meticulous dissection was performed to separate the bladder from the vagina using gentle countertraction and laparoscopic scissors. Vaginal closure was done in single layer with interrupted 2-0 absorbable sutures (Figure 2-7). The bladder was closed using 2-0 long absorbable suture in two layers of running suture with perpendicular direction from vaginal closure (Figure 2-8). The omental interpositional flap was advanced over the vaginal closure and sutured to the anterior vaginal wall, distal to the vaginal closure (Figure 2-9). The bladder was irrigated with saline to ensure watertight closure. A silicone drain no 0.4 was placed. Bladder drainage was accomplished with an 18F indwelling catheter. No suprapubic cystostomy was used.
The total operative time was 270 minutes, with an estimated blood loss of 50 ml. The patient was discharged on the second post-operative day, with an average VAS score of 2. The urethral catheter was removed at 2 weeks after it was confirmed there was no contrast leakage under cystogram (Figure 3-a). The patient was asymptomatic with normal urination at 3-months follow-up with good cosmetic outcome of the surgical wound (Figure 3-b).

**DISCUSSION**

VVF is an uncommon complication with a reported incidence of 0.1%-0.2% after hysterectomy. Fistulas may be successfully repaired with a transperitoneal, vaginal or combined approach. The choice of approach usually depends on the surgeon’s preference and experience. The number and complexity of surgical cases performed with laparoscopic repair is ever-growing and is currently becoming an alternative to laparotomy for many procedures. The advantages of a minimally-invasive procedure are well known, including magnification during the procedure, better hemostasis, decreased pain and shorter hospital stay with a more rapid recovery and earlier return to daily activities.

This is our first experience in laparoscopic repair for vesicovaginal fistula. Transperitoneal approach has advantages such as a bigger operative field and easier insertion of interpositional flap than vaginal approach. Some literatures have also reported their experience in laparoscopic procedure for vesicovaginal fistula repair, closure rate was 87.1%, with 15.6% remaining incontinent, others report a success rate of 75% and 92%.7,8

The exposure and magnification afforded by laparoscopy facilitates efficient and direct access to the fistula, meticulous dissection and fistula resection. Tension-free closure of well-vascularized flaps can be done with interposition of the omental flap between the suture lines. Approximation of the bladder under magnification allows the procedure to be completed without suprapubic tube placement.

**CONCLUSION**

Laparoscopic VVF is a feasible and efficacious approach for VVF repair. Based on our first experience, it seems to be an excellent alternative to the traditional open surgery but it requires experience in laparoscopic pelvic surgery with intracorporeal suturing.
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